

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,747	06/28/2001	Tatsuo Nomura	1035-332	3643
23117 7590 01/30/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	BARQADLE, YASIN M	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Amplication No	Anglianda				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,747	NOMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION OF THIS	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	,				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/892,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on October 30, 2006 has been fully considered and deemed persuasive. However they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

• Claims 1-32 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-22, 25-26 and 28-332 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oki (U.S. Patent Number 5,859,969, hereinafter "Oki") in view of Nakagawa et al USPN. (5835911), hereinafter "Nakagawa"). Oki discloses a remote installation system and method. Oki shows:

In referring to claim 1 and 8,

 Transmitting an operating environment of the user system from the user system via the network to the server before supplying the program:

Art Unit: 2153

Page 3

"The circumstance information informing unit obtains circumstance information relating to software operation circumstances in the terminal equipment and informs the distributing center of them." (Oki, col. 2. lines 33-36 and col. 8, lines 36-65)

- Judging whether the program is operable or not in the operating environment, and transmitting a result of the judgment from the server via the network to the user system:

 "The circumstance checking unit compares the circumstance information received from the circumstance information informing unit with that of the target software to check whether or not they are compatible, and informs the installing unit of the check result thus obtained. The installing unit decides whether or not the target software is to be distributed and if so, it determines a software distributing method, in response to the check result." (Oki, col. 2, lines 37-44).
- Executing the program on the user system after the program is supplied from the server and installed on the user system: The purpose of the system of Oki is to download new software to be executed on the client. Executing the software is inherently implied in the system of Oki

Although Oki shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he does not explicitly show transmitting a notification of operation confirmation to a server.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Oki, as evidenced by Nakagawa USPN. (5835911).

In analogous art, Nakagawa disclose "If the third process unit CP receives a message from vendors V1, V2, . . . and stores or installs in the user computer 11 new software, newly served

terminated normally or abnormally."

Art Unit: 2153

software, or updated software received from vendor Vk, then the third process unit CP monitors the result of these processes and sends to the fourth process unit SP of vendors V1, V2, . . . over the network 12 a process result confirmation message informing whether the process has

Page 4

Giving the teaching of Nakagawa, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and the advantage of modifying Oki by employing the system of Nakagawa because it enables the provider/vendor of the software to recognize the process result in the user computer so that the vendor can demand payment for the software if it working properly or fix the bugs in the software if is not working properly.

Nakagawa further teaches requesting payment for a program "Every user is sent the object software as shareware on the response of the new purchase request, and the above described fund is demanded of the user to pay after the user's satisfaction of the software. "col. 59, lines 35-50

In referring to claim 2,

• Displaying the result of the judgment as to whether the program is operable or not in the operating environment of the user system:

"The installing menu display unit displays plural • methods of installing the target software." (Oki, col. 3, lines 45-46)

In referring to claim 3 and 9,

 Transmitting an order for the program from the user system via the network to the server when the program is judged to be operable:

Art Unit: 2153

Page 5

Oki, Fig. 4 shows selecting a program S12, checking if the program is operable at S14, Fig. 5 shows transmitting the selected program at S18

In referring to claim 4 and 10,

• Generating a program list made up of programs which can be supplied from the server, transmitting the program list from the server via the network to the user system; selecting a program from the program list:

"When the user inquires of the host computer 21 for the keyword lists through the terminal 23, the host computer 21 transmits' the first and second key tables 32 and 33 successively and displays keywords included in them on the display 24 of his terminal 23. The user selects the displayed keywords which corresponds to the target software and informs the host computer 21 of his selection." (Oki, col. 5, lines 14-20)

In referring to claim 5,

• Generating by the server a program list made up of programs which can be operated in the operating environment of the user system; transmitting the program list from the server via the network to the user system; selecting a program from the program list: Oki, col. 5, lines 14-20 (see full quote above)

In referring to claim 6 and 11,

• Storing the result of judgment as data of the user system in the server when the program is judged to be inoperable: Storing the result of a judgment made in a server is inherently implied in a server that conducts said judgment

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 7,

 Expanding functions of the user system when a program supplied from the server via the network to the user system is installed:

Page 6

Expanding functions of a user system by installing a program is inherently implied in a system in which a program is installed in a user system

In referring to claim 12,

- Said user system includes operating environment judging means for judging an operating environment of the user system, and before having a program supplied, transmits the judged operating environment via the network to the server:

 Oki, col.2, lines 33-36 (see full quote above)
- Said server includes operation judging means for judging whether the program is operable or not in the received operating environment, and transmits a result of judgment as to whether the program is operable or not via the network to the user system: Oki, col. 2, lines 37-44 (see full quote above)
- Said user system is operable to execute the program on the user system after the program is supplied from the server and installed on the user system to thereby confirm operation of the program:

The purpose of the system of Oki is to download new software to be executed on the client. Executing the software is inherently implied in the system of Oki As to requesting payment for a program after its proper operation see the rejection above in claim 1 and 8.

In referring to claim 13,

Operation input means to be operated by a user, said user system is

Art Unit: 2153

activated in response to operation on the operation input means:

Page 7

"When the user inquires of the host computer 21 for the keyword lists through the terminal 23, the host computer 21 transmits' the first and second key tables 32 and 33 successively and displays keywords included in them on the display 24 of his terminal 23. The user selects the displayed keywords which corresponds to the target software and informs the host computer 21 of his selection." (Oki, col. 5, lines 14-20)

 Display means for displaying data; displaying the result of judgment given by the operation judging means of the server on the display means:

Oki, col. 3, lines 45-46 (see full quote above)

• The user system transmits the operating environment judged by the operation environment judging means via the network to the server: Oki, Fig. 3 shows judging the operation environment at steps S1 and S2, and then transmitting them at step S3

In referring to claim 14,

• Said user system orders the program to the server when the result of judgment received from the server indicates that the program is operable:

Oki, Fig. 4 shows the user orders the program after the judgment (circumstance check)

In referring to claim 15,

• Said server further includes storing means for storing data of the user system: Receiving user data and running a script on said user data (Oki, Fig.4, S14 and 40) inherently implies a storing means to store said user data

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 16,

in a user system.

 Said user system expands its functions when a program supplied via the network from the server is installed.
 Expanding functions of a user system by installing a program is inherently implied in a system in which a program is installed

Page 8

In referring to claim 17,

 Said user system is any of a personal computer, a portable terminal, and a complex digital image forming apparatus:
 Oki, Fig. 2 shows the user system is a portable terminal

In referring to claim 18,

• Said server further includes list generating means for generating a program list made up of programs which can be supplied to the user system, and transmits the program list via the network to the user system; said user system displays the program list on the display means, and selects a program from the program list according to operation on the operation input means:

Oki, col. 5, lines 14-20 (see full quote above)

In referring to claim 19,

• Said server further includes list generating means for generating a program list made up of programs which are operable in the operating environment of the user system; transmits the program list via the network to the user system, and said user system displays the program list on

Art Unit: 2153

the display means and selects a program from the program list according to operation on the operation input means: Oki, Fig. 4 shows requesting a list from the server S10, generating a list at the server, receiving and displaying the list S12, and selecting a program from the list S12

Page 9

In referring to claim 20,

• Said server stores the result of judgment by the operation judging means when the program is judged to be inoperable: Storing the result of a judgment made in a server is inherently implied in a server that conducts said judgment

In referring to claim 21-22, 25 and 29-32, Oki shows substantial features of the invention as discussed in claims 1 and 8 above. Oki further teaches:

- Operating environment judging means for judging an operating environment of the user system; user-side communication means for transmitting data to and receiving data from the server via the network:

 Oki, col. 2, lines 33-36 (see full quote above)
- Server-side communication means for transmitting data to and receiving data from the user system via the network; operation judging means for judging whether a program can be operated or not in the operating environment of the user system received via the server-side communication means:

 Oki, col. 2, lines 37-44 and col. 8, lines 36-65 (see full quote above)
- Said user system is operable to execute the program on the user system after the program is supplied from the server and installed on the user system to thereby confirm operation of the program: The purpose of the system of Oh

is to **download** new software to be executed on the client. Executing the software is inherently implied in the system of Oki (See col. 8, lines 36-65)

Although Oki shows substantial features of the claimed

invention, he does not explicitly show transmitting a notification of operation confirmation to a server.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Oki, as evidenced by Nakagawa USPN. (5835911).

In analogous art, Nakagawa disclose "If the third process unit CP receives a message from vendors V1, V2, . . . and stores or installs in the user computer 11 new software, newly served software, or updated software received from vendor Vk, then the third process unit CP monitors the result of these processes and sends to the fourth process unit SP of vendors V1, V2, . . . over the network 12 a process result

Giving the teaching of Nakagawa, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and the advantage of modifying Oki by employing the system of Nakagawa because it enables the provider/vendor of the software to recognize the process result in the user computer so that the vendor can demand payment for the software if it working properly or fix the bugs in the software if is not working properly.

confirmation message informing whether the process has

terminated normally or abnormally."

Nakagawa further teaches requesting payment for a program "Every user is sent the object software as shareware on the response of the new purchase request, and the above described

Art Unit: 2153

fund is demanded of the user to pay after the user's satisfaction of the software. "col. 59, lines 35-50

In referring to claim- 26,

 A computer-readable recording medium having a program for executing the program receiving method of claim 1 recorded thereon:

A computer-readable recording medium having a program for executing the program is inherently implied in the computer system of Oki

3. Claims 23, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oki (U.S. Patent Number 5,859,969, hereinafter "Oki") in view Nakagawa et al USPN. (5835911), hereinafter "Nakagawa"). Oki discloses a remote installation system and method. Oki shows:

In referring to claim 23,

- Transmitting by a user system an order for a program via a network to a server; Oki, Fig 3 shows selecting software at step S12
- Receiving the program in response to be order from the server via the network; Oki, Fig. 5 shows receiving the program at S17 in response to the selection
- Executing the program to thereby confirm proper operation thereof; (Oki fig. 5 and col. 2, lines 37-44)

In referring to claim 24,

• User-side operation judging means for executing the received program, thereby confirming proper operation of the program; upon the user-side operation judging means executing the received program, (Oki fig. 5 and Oki, col. 2, lines 37-44)

As per claims 23 and 34, although Oki shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he does not explicitly show transmitting a notification of operation confirmation to a server.

Although Oki shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he does not explicitly show transmitting a notification of operation confirmation to a server. Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Oki, as evidenced by Nakagawa USPN. (5835911). In analogous art, Nakagawa disclose "If the third process unit CP receives a message from vendors V1, V2, . . . and stores or installs in the user computer 11 new software, newly served software, or updated software received from vendor Vk, then the third process unit CP monitors the result of these processes and sends to the fourth process unit SP of vendors V1, V2, . . . over the network 12 a process result confirmation message informing whether the process has terminated normally or abnormally." Giving the teaching of Nakagawa, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and the advantage of modifying Oki by employing the system of Nakagawa because it enables the provider/vendor of the software to recognize the process result in the user computer so that the vendor can demand payment for the software if it working properly or fix the bugs in the software if is not working properly.

In referring to claim 27,

• A computer-readable recording medium having a program for

executing the program receiving method of claim 23 recorded thereon:

A computer-readable recording medium having a program for executing the program is inherently implied in the computer system of Oki.

Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Barqadle whose telephone number is 571-272-3947. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have

questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YΒ

Art Unit 2153

KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER